DPF Study at INCO's Stobie Mine – Introduction Joe Stachulak, INCO

Health Effect and Regulations

- The suspected adverse health effect of diesel emissions have received increasing attention over the last 'several decades'. NIOSH NCI, 10 mines study should be an important contribution to the epidemiological understanding for everyone.
- ACGIH progressively reduced its TLV for diesel exhaust from 0.15 to 0.05 then 0.02 mg/m3 over the last 6 years
- The current Ontario standard is 1.5 mg/m3 measured as RCD
- Typical average level of RCD at Inco ranges from 0.1 0.5 mg/m3
- Inco trap field test has the nominal DPM emission target of 0.05 mg/m3, EC

The Challenge

- The changes in TLV amount to at least one order of magnitude reduction in DPM
- It would be technically an impossible task to achieve this reduction, by increasing the ventilation rate
- The only effective, practical and commercially available DPM reduction strategy for EC, appears to be diesel particulate filter system

Diesel Particulate Filter Technology

- Particulate trap filters have been available since the mid 80's,
- However the experience in mining has been mixed due to problems with
 - Regeneration
 - Maintenance, and
 - Reliability issues

(South-Western German Salt mine (Sudwestdeutsche Salzwerke AG), has been using diesel particulate filter traps since early 90's, (1), (2) - with sulfur content of fuel < 0.05 %, and also Saskatchewan Potash mine, in Canada (3), (4) for the last several years apparently without significant operational or maintenance problems)

Trap Selection Process/ Methodology

- 4 days duration technical workshop program was launched at Inco (5),
 (6) in Nov. 2000, at which sessions (presentations, discussions, etc.) were held with
 - Various European and North American trap, engine manufacturers, and fuel additive companies
 - DEEP technical members, NIOSH scientists
 - Mine personnel, USWA members
 - DEEP primary technical consultant A. Mayer, TTM, Switzerland
- Input and important discussions were held with 3 offshore underground mining operations in Sweden and Germany, as well a visit to two underground mine sites in Germany to acquire 'first hand' knowledge of trap's system, and associated operational challenges.

Note: (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), refer to references

Trap Selection Criteria

- Greater than 90% reduction (filtration rate) in elemental carbon mass
- Effective filter lifetime (> 2000 hrs until ash removal and > 6000 hrs until filter replacement)
- Filter system must be reliable, robust and easy to maintain
- No increase of any other toxic emissions, (or secondary emissions)
- No increase in fire risk
- VERT certified, or in process of approval

Trap's Selected for Inco Project (Nov. 2000)

1. DDEC Scoop – Oberland Mangold *Germany	backup – ECS
2. Deutz Scoop – JMC - Germany	- Engelhard
3. DDEC Scoop - Engelhard – UK - USA	- ECS
4. Kubota Tractor – ECS/3M - Canada	- Engelhard
5. Deutz Truck Deutz - Germany **	- Engelhard
6. Kubota Tructor – Greentop – Germany***	- JMC

Note: 1 – 4 trap system installed, June/July, 2001

* Oberland, filter de-installed in July, and Oberland discontinued participation in the project in Sept.

- ** Deutz elected not to participate in DEEP program, April
- *** Greentop some technical and logistics' issues not ready, April

Issues Encountered and Lessons Learned

• The project was adversely affected by not being able to get

-- full in kind contribution from the suppliers as originally expected

- -- commitment to the project's terms and conditions
- -- agreed trap delivery schedule
- However equipment and installation in kind contribution by ECS, Engelhard, and Oberland – Mangold, plus an equipment in kind contribution by JMC are greatly appreciated
- European experience and expertice was garnered through

-- a visit by Inco personnel to Oberland in Germany and JMC one week installation and training, at mine site (reimbursed by Inco)

-- participation in recent ETH Nanoparticle Conference

Where is the Inco project going?

- Inco realizes that the project has been significantly delayed from its original schedule, but we are committed to its completion, and will test the best system, and provide scientifically sound information to protect our employees
- Inco may have to buy 2 trap systems, namely: for light duty Kubota vehicle, (replacement for Greentop system), and a replacement for Oberland Mangold on DDEC Scoop
- Truck is presently not being used at Stobie mine, and it may be a missing component in the project

Conclusion

- To date, we are fairly impressed with the various diesel filter trap systems that we are testing, even though it is too early to draw conclusions
- Let us remember, that the most important aspect is the long term robustness of the system, reasonable operating maintenance, cost, and operational acceptance
- I would like to expressed my thanks to NIOSH scientists, Drs. G. Schnakenberg and A. Bugarski, and D. Wilson, President of RBR-Ecom, USA, for their enthusiastic, in kind participation in trap system efficiency measurements
- Last, but not least my gratitude is also extended to the members of Stobie team, DEEP technical committee, and management board

Technical Assessment and Justification of Selected Trap System for Inco Project (Nov. 2000)

1 DDEC Scoop – Oberland Mangold

Positive aspects: - VERT approved, - fuel additive needed is US-EPA approved, - Oberland is confident in its ability to work, - On -board dosing system, - Toxicity of additive is equal to diesel fuel

Areas of concern: It was agreed that the practical positive aspects of this choice overrode any negative proposals.

2 Deutz Scoop – JMC

Positive aspects: - VERT approved. – Widely used in Europe, -Option of both electric regeneration and fuel additive systems, -Automatic on-board dosing

Areas of concern: - Not used in the mining environment to-date, Both on board electric regeneration and fuel additive using computerized dosing may add to the complexity of the system

3 DDEC Scoop – Engelhard

Positive aspects: - - Convenient modular system for maintenance, -One piece self-regenerating system that is quite simple in design, -Tested to perform well in underground mines, - MSHA agrees with manufacture efficiency data.

Areas of concern: -- Passive system, thus no integral backup system, may be a concern, - VERT suitability test not performed or not completed yet

4 Kubota Tractor – ECS/3M Omega

Positive aspects: - VERT approved filter, - On-board electric regeneration

Areas of concern: - The system uses electrical heating coils/elements, which may result in some additional maintenance work

5 Deutz Truck – Deutz

Positive aspects: - Second level VERT certified, - Manufactured by the parent company of the engine, - No heat loss issues, - Proven and viable technology, - Used in German salt mine since early 90's

Areas of concern: - Complex electronic control module, - Probable maintenance, and breakdown issues, - Higher initial capital

6 Kubota Tractor - Greentop

Positive Aspects: -- On-board regeneration using existing alternator and battery, - Needs no fuel additives but the option is available

Areas of concern: - Not yet VERT certified,

References:

1, Ruther, W., 1996, "Experience in Waste Gas Treatment in Salt Mining". European Commission, Doc.No 5810/96 EN, Luxembourg, Sept. 16, 1996

2, Stachulak, J., 1998, "Field Trip Report – Sept. 23, 24, 1998 – Germany. DEEP, Vol. 3, Jan. 1999.

3, Postnikoff, Jim, A., 1999, "Diesel particulate matter minimization at Agrium". MDEC 99, Nov 3 – 4, Toronto, pages 1.1 – 1.12.

4, Stachulak, J., 2002, "Private communication with Agrium Potash Operation.

5, Nelson, R., 2000, "Diesel particulate trap project minutes – The Inco/Stobie mine diesel particulate trap project. Request for proposals – trap, manufacturers" day 1 – 4. Inco, Nov. 13 – 16, 2000, pages 1-11; 1-16; 1-7; 1-11. (Distributed to DEEP technical committee and management board)

6, Mayer, A., 2000, "Datalogging review, trap selection and in mine trap test planning". DEEP/Inco in mine trap test at Stobie-Mine/Sudbury – Meeting Nov. 13. 2000.















































Should the system not regenerate resulting in excessive back pressure, sensors are wired into the engine E.C.M. system and buttons located on the dash of the unit.



It may not be directly attributed to a plugged filter system should these indicator lights signal. It may be attributed to other engine functions.

STANDARD PROCEDURES ARE TO BE FOLLOWED.



S1P4 - 12











































	D.E.E.P.					
A LOUGH AND	FILTER TRAP & DATA LOGGER					
- and a set of the	OPERATIONS CHECKLIST					
	Inspect Data Logger Case Inspect All Wires and Connections Open Case Door To Take Readings (light should be flashing) NOTE: Engine must be idling for all readings Press 'MODE' Button and Record Readings Red Green Surt of Shift End of Shift					
	Pressure					
a data taking	Temp. 1					
	Temp. 2					
	R.P.M					
	DO NOT PRESS THE SET BUTTON REPORT TO MAINTENANCE FOR REPAIRS:					
State State States	If no power to unit					
STATISTICS STATISTICS	Lights are out					
	Red alarm is displayed Date					
	Shift					
A CONTRACTOR OF	Vehicle Number					
A STATE OF A	Vehicle Type					
STORES HERE	Data Logger #					
	Operator's Name					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Operator's Serial #					
2012 C 10 C 10 C 10 C	Fuel Reading					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Oil Reading					
8.226月1日,中国的	Oil Leak YES NO					
ALC: NOT STREET	Out of Use Operations					
2012年1月1月1日1日	Out of Use Maintenance					
	rs of Use +4 hrs. Heavy 24 hrs. Mod 0.2 hrs. Light					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SEE OTHER SIDE					

S1P4 - 23

distant mental in	D.E.E.P.					
-teres	FILTER TRAP & DATA LOGGER <u>OPERATIONS CHECKLIST</u>					
PERSONAL PERSONAL		OK B.O.	COMMENTS			
	1) TRAP					
	2) EXHAUST					
	3) REGENERATION EQUIPMENT					
	4) ADDITIVE					
	5) INSTRUMENTATION					
	6) OTHER ISSUES					
	METER READINGS					
	START OF SHIFT	END	OF SHIFT			
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS					
				_		
and a start of the second						